Interview Summary	10/574,907	NISHIMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1793
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	•	•
(1) Melvin Curtis Mayes.	(3) John W. Bailey (4) Chap M. Rink	
(2) Yun Qian	(4) Chap M. Kink	
Date of Interview: 4/28/2010		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant	2) applicant's representa	tive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: Claim		
Identification of prior art discussed: Mizumoto et.al (ns. 4,631,263)	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.] N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments:	I nature of what was agreed	to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments tha	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has alread OF ONE MONTH OR THIF TERVIEW SUMMARY FORE ERVIEW. See Summary of	ady been filed, APPLICANT IS RTY DAYS FROM THIS M, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO Record of Interview
Mr. Bailey and Mr. Rink and th	e txaminers discuss	- la l'aca That
1. A 1. The same an entire to Alberta	Claim Examine.	s helieve much
prior art of Mizumoto et. al teaches the	he catalyst inside u	claires (see Fig 2)
Prior art of Mizumoto et. al teaches The Examiners suggest to add additional distin	gish features to	Mulim . 140 organismost
rs reached.	•	
At IM		
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview	v Summarv	Paper No. 20100428

Application No.

المعوسرة:

Applicant(s)